Proposition 62 is NOT reform. It RESTRICTS VOTER CHOICE, makes the Legislature LESS ACCOUNTABLE and greatly damages California democracy. Vote NO on 62.

The special interests behind Prop. 62 want California to join Louisiana as the only state in the nation with a bizarre system based on Louisiana law that SEVERELY RESTRICTS voter choice in November elections.

There's a reason NO OTHER STATE has such a system – it's deeply flawed and undemocratic!

It helped Ku Klux Klan Leader David Duke run for Governor, and has resulted in Louisiana having one of the LOWEST VOTER TURNOUTS in the nation. We shouldn't pattern California on Louisiana's bad laws.

Here's how Prop. 62 would undermine your vote:

In primary elections, all candidates would appear in a long list on the same ballot.

Only the top two vote-getters, regardless of political party, would be allowed on the November ballot. In many races, YOUR ONLY CHOICE WILL BE TWO CANDIDATES FROM THE SAME PARTY.

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION______

If Prop. 62 had been in effect since 2000, over 350 candidates would have been barred from the November ballot. Those candidates received over 8.2 million votes – votes that would be BANNED by Prop. 62.

Democrats could be forced to vote for a Republican in many races, or not vote at all.

Likewise, Republicans could be forced to vote for Democrats. That's not choice and it's not democracy.

Other smaller parties -- Greens, Libertarians, American Independent, Peace & Freedom and Natural Law - would all effectively be FORCED OFF THE NOVEMBER BALLOT.

WE NEED CHOICES AND ACCOUNTABILITY. INSTEAD, PROP. 62 INTRODUCES
LOUISIANA'S DEEPLY FLAWED SYSTEM THAT ELIMINATES CHOICE AND MAKES THE
LEGISLATURE LESS ACCOUNTABLE.

Currently, we have a diverse Legislature with a representative number of Latino, Asian and African Americans serving, as well as a good mix of men and women.

Under Prop. 62, that DIVERSITY COULD BE UNDERMINED. The Legislature could be dominated by VERY WEALTHY SPECIAL INTERESTS.

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 62

Who is paying for 62? A small group of millionaires who have LOST at the ballot box and now want to change the rules to manipulate primary elections and limit YOUR choice in General Elections, giving themselves a better chance to win.

Don't let them get away with stealing your choice and your vote.

Conservative, moderate and liberal public interest organizations are working together to urge you to vote NO on 62.

COMMON CAUSE, THE HOWARD JARVIS TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION, THE LATINO
COALITION, THE LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS AND THE CENTER FOR VOTING
AND DEMOCRACY all say NO to 62. The Congress of California Seniors and California
Federation of Teachers also say NO.

ALL SEVEN POLITICAL PARTIES, WHO RARELY AGREE ON ANYTHING, URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON 62.

Prop. 62 would:

- Eliminate voter choice in General Elections
- Force Green, Libertarian and other political parties off the November ballot
- Make it harder for women and minorities to win elections
- Make the Legislature less accountable

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES Protect your VOTE and our DEMOCRACY -- vote NO on 62.

Mary Bergan President California Federation of Teachers

Mario Rodriguez Chairman The Latino Coalition

Jon Coupal President Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

ORDERED CHANGES

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES